

TELANGANA

Judicial Services Exam

CIVIL JUDGE (Junior Division)

High Court of Telangana

Criminal Law Volume - 2



TELANGANA JUDICIARY SERVICES

CONTENTS

5.No.		Chapter Name	Section No.
1.		The Indian Penal Code 1860	(Pg. 1)
	Ch. I	Introduction	1-5
	Ch. II	General Explanations	6-52 A
	Ch. III	Punishments	53-75
	Ch. IV	General Exceptions	76-106
	Ch. V	Abetment	107-120
	Ch. V A	Criminal Conspiracy	120A-120 B
	Ch. VI	Offences Against the State	121-130
	Ch. VII	Offences Relating to The Army, Navy and Air Force	131-140
	Ch. VIII	Offences Against the Public Tranquility	141-160
	Ch. IX	Offences by Or Relating to Public Servants	161-171
	Ch. IXA	To Offences Relating to Elections	71A-171 I
	Ch. X	Contempts of The Lawful Authority of Public Servants	172-190
	Ch. XI	False Evidence and Offences Against Public Justice	191-229 A
	Ch. XII	Offences Relating to Coin and Government Stamps	230-263 A
	Ch. XIII	Offences Relating to Weights and Measures	264-267
	Ch. XIV	Offences Affecting the Public Health, Safety, Convenience, Decency and Morals	268-294 A
	Ch. XV	Offences Relating to Religion	295-298
	Ch. XVI	Offences Affecting the Human Body of Offences affecting Life	299-377
	Ch. XVII	Offences Against Property of Theft	378-462
	Ch. XVIII	Offences Relating to Documents and to Property Marks	463-489 E
	Ch. XIX	The Criminal Breach of Contracts of Service	490-492
	Ch. XX	Offences Relating to Marriage	493-498
	Ch. XXA	Cruelty by Husband or Relatives of Husband	498 A
	Ch. XXI	Defamation	499-502
	Ch. XXII	Criminal Intimidation, Insult and Annoyance	503-510
	Ch. XXIII	Attempts to Commit Offences	511

2.	The Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881	(Pg. 120)
3.	The Criminal Rules of Practice and Circular Orders, 1990	(Pg. 172)



The Indian Penal Code, 1860

Definition

* AR + MR = Offence (Elements of AR and MR must be clear)

AR = Actus Reus (Guilty Act)

MR = Mems Rea (Guilty Mind)

Difference

* कानूनी भेद

Punishment

- * Act no. 45 of 1860.
- * Came in force on 1 January, 1860.
- * Assent of Governor General 6 October, 1860.
- * Divided into 23 chapters and 511 sections.
- * Father of IPC Lord Macaulay
- * Other contributions by:
 - a. Lord Mc. Lord
 - b. Lord Anderson
 - c. Lord Millet

Part ACh. 1-5A

[1-120B]

Part B

Ch. 6-15

[121-298]

Part C

Ch. 16-23

[299-511]

Part A

* Ch. 1

[1-5]

Preliminary

* Ch. 2

[6-52A]

Explanations

* Ch. 3

[53-75]

Punishments



* Ch. 4

[76-106]

Exceptions

* Ch. 5

[107-120]

Abetment

* Ch. 5A

[120A-120B]

Criminal Conspiracy

Part C

* Ch. 16

Body

[299-377]

* Ch. 17

Property

[378-462]

* Ch. 18

Document

[463-489E]

* Ch. 19

Breach of contract of survice

[490-492]

* Ch. 20

Marriage

[493-498]

* Ch. 20A

Cruelty

[498*A*]



* Ch. 21

Defamation

[499-502]

* Ch. 22

Intimidation, Insult and Annoyance

[503-510]

* Ch. 23

Attempt to commit offences

[511]

Part B

* Ch. 6

Offences against the state

[121-130]

* Ch. 7

Offences relating to army, navy, air force

[131-140]

* Ch. 8

Offences against the public tranquility

[141-160]

* Ch. 9

Offence by or related to public servant

[161-171]

* Ch. 9A

Offence relating to elections

[171A-171I]

* Ch. 10

Contempt of the lawful authority of public servants

[172-190]

* Ch. 11

False residence and offence against public justice

[191-229A]



* Ch. 12

Offences relating to coin and government stamps [230-263A]

* Ch. 13

Offences relating to weights and measures

[264-267]

* Ch. 14

Offences affecting the public health, safety, convenience, decency and morals

[268-294A]

* Ch. 15

Offences relating to Religion

[295-298]

Que. What are the various theories of punishment of crime?

Ans. Retributive [Eye for an eye]

Expiatory [Penance]

Detterent [Fear]

Preventive [Before offence prevent]

Reformative [Hate the crime not the offender]

All have their own relevance. In my opinion, [any of the above and then give explanation for that].

* Coke "Actus non facitreum nise mens sit rea"

[AR + MR = Offence]

This Latin maximum means offence shall only be constituted when along with guilty act there is also guilty mind.

* Actus rea = Any act prohibited by law

Means rea = Guilty mind

* Fowler Vs. Padget

C.J. Kenyon said, for offence intention and act both must concur.

This principle is the fundamental principle of criminal liability.

R Vs. Khandu



STAGE I

STAGE II

AR = Hit by stick [Not dead]

MR = Cause disappearance evidence

O = MR = Murder not

AR = Murder

AR + MR = O

Murder + Murder = Murder

Q. The question was whether seen as a whole or divided in stages?

* R Vs Shorty

AR = Grevious hurt MR = Murder

O = MR = Murder Not AR = Remove Evidence

Q. The same question was raised?

* In both cases accused got benefit.

* Mali Vs. R

No benefit was given.

Conclusion/Inference

* It seems in matter of melic, the above cases were not cited.

* W/e offences can be divided int stages accused must be given benefit.

* Duty of prosecution to prove beyond reasonable doubt.

* Judges can be of various nature will not give benefit i.e., where of strict nature will not give benefit but where liberal judge there divide the offence in stages and give benefit.

AR + MR = O [Offence]

AR + MR = O AR + MR = O

If MR is O, AR = Offence AR = O

Actus Rea is sufficient Therefore, MR = Offence

Eq. Sex before 18

Mens Rea is sufficient

Eg. Sec 120 A-B



Actus Rea

According to Kemy Actus Rea is of six types:

- 1. No physical participation required
- 2. Participation is indirect.
- 3. Interference of another person.
- 4. Victims own conduct.
- 5. Contributory negligence.
- 6. Such participation which was superfluous.

Q. Whether merely on grounds of Actus Rea, person can be convicted or not? [AR = Offence]

Ans.

- * W/e there is a strict liability [Sec. 292 of IPC]
- * Socio economic offence. Eg. Currency mote.
- * W/e offence is Male-in-Se.
- * W/e matter of public nuisance.
- * W/e defamation.

Offence is of two types

1. Male-in-Se - act itself is offence.

No benefit of mistake of fact.

Eg. Kidnapping. R Vs. Prince

[Age of girl below 18, then punishable].

2. Male Prohibita - Act not offence but prohibited by state. There can be mistake of act.

Mens Rea

In India it is found in 3 manners:

1. Positive (+ve)

In IPC, few words are used, i.e., Intention, good faith, negligence, rashness, etc. by which criminal mind is made out negatively.

2. Negative (-ve)

In Ch. 4 of IPC, there are general exceptions where no mens rea is considered. Eq. Murder by 4-year infant.

3. Strict liability

Act itself is offence, no mens rea is required.



Q. Whether there is any condition, where on bases of mens rea person can be convicted?

Ans. Yes, refer Sec. 120A, B of IPC.

Eg. A and B go to railway station to murder C. But C doesn't appear. Whether

A and B convicted any offence. Yes. of Criminal conspiracy to commit offence.

Q. What are the various stages of offence?

Ans. Generally, No Punishment except



- Mental Stage: It is primarily shown as mental stage.
 It is not punishable except Sec. 120 A/B i.e., Criminal Conspiracy.
- Preparations: Generally, preparations is not punishable under IPC, like following sections,

122: Collecting arms	257:
126: Committing depredations	472:
399: Making preparations to commit dacoity	473:
233:	474:
234:	475:
235:	476:
242:	485:
243:	486:
259:	489 <i>C</i> :
266:	489D:
256:	



- 3. <u>Attempt</u>: W/e any person does any act towards offence but expected result don't occur and it becomes unsuccessful then it is called attempt.
 - * This is the last proximate act to commit offence.
 - * State of Maha. Vs Mohd. Yakub.
 - * Abhayanand Mishra Vs State of Bihar where false document/false statement then person shall be liable for attempt. Sec. 415, 420/511.
- 4. Offence: When attempt is completed, it becomes offence.

Attempt

- 1. Possible attempt:
- 2. Impossible attempt:
 - * Body person shoot on doll, statue even if hit on statue then also not liable for murder, i.e., W/e matter is offences related to body because it depends on result so person not liable.
 - * Property W/e matter of property there, person shall be liable [pick-pocketer-pocket-empty] then also liable because use in matter of property, valuation is not essential.
- Q. A person open box found nothing- got emotional left Rs. 100. Is he liable?
- **Sol**. Yes, for attempt.

Possible attempt

- * Attempt + Offence in the same sec. Eq. Sec. 124A
- * Attempt + Offence in different section but nearly. Eg. 302, 307
- * Only attempt is punishable not Offence. Eg. 309.

 Presently no punishment, Person shall be dealt in Sec. 511. Personal mental health act will be applicable.
- * W/e there is no punishment, then sec. 511 will apply.



Chapter - 23 Attempt to commit offences

Section 511

- * W/e any person attempts or causes commission of offence punishable by life imprisonment or imprisonment [Death/fine not included].
- * Does any act towards commission of offence.
- * No expressed provision.
- * Then following LI/2, I/2Fine always taken full.LI = Whole life
- * W/e there is commutation of offence there 14 yrs [Sec. 55].
- * W/e LI is to be calculated in fractions.
- * Therefore, In Section 511, LI/2 = 10yrs.
- * Koppula Venkat Rao Vs State of AP. In this case, SC Supreme Court had differentiated prepration from attempt.
- * Vasudev Balwant Gogte Vs Emperor. In this case Section 307 and 511 been differentiated.
- * Cassidy Vs Emperor (Same as the above)



Chapter - 1 Preliminary [1-5]

Q. What do you understand by Jurisdiction? Ans. Jurisdiction

IPC not apply
[1, 5]

Territorial
[2]

[2, 3, 4]

[3,4]

Section. 1

Apply to whole India [Previously not applied to J & K but now applicable by J & K reorganization act, 2019].

Section 5

Not apply on following:

- (a) Not apply on mutiny or desertion of soldiers.
- (b) Any special law or local law.

Chandi Prasad Vs Abdul Rehman. W/e any special law is complete then no jurisdiction of IPC.

Section, 2

Every person shall be liable for punishment under the code for any act or omission contrary to the provisions shall be guilty within India.

India = Air, land, water

It includes territorial water all rights [12NM] contiguous zone [24NM] Fishing exclusive economic zone [200NM] research.

NM = Notical miles [1.852Km]



Every person: shall be liable for punishment under the act except,

(a) President

(e) Alien Enemy

(b) Governor

(f) Foreign army

(c) Foreign Sovereign

(g) Warship

(d) Ambassador

Q. A foreigner comes to India and commit murder in India, Whether liable? Ans. Yes

- * Mubarik Ali Vs State of Bombay

 One person was commissioned agent, he committed cheating by letters

 and he was held liable for cheating in India.
- * Mayor Hans George Vs State

 A plane was going stopped in India, gold was conphiscated liable in India.

Section 3

Where any person is liable Under Indian law and offence committed out of India/beyond India.

Then act committed as if done within India.

Eg. Grey Chhappal \rightarrow Dubai \rightarrow Cheating

Section 4

W/e offence is committed by citizen of India [without/beyond India] On any ship or aircraft registered in India.

In any computer resource which is located in India done by an outsider.

Mohd. Sajeed Vs State of Kerala

Offence committed beyond India then can be investigated by an Indian police but with permission of Indian Govt [Refer Sec. 188, 189 of IPC and act 20 of constitution].



Chapter - 2 General Explanations [6-52A]

6- Definition 34-

7- Sense 35- Common 8- Gender 36- Intention

9- Number 37-10- Men/Women 38-

11- Person 39- Voluntary 12- Public 40- Offence 13- XXX 41- Special Law

14- Govt. Servant 42- Local law

15- XXX 43- Illegal 16- XXX 44- Injury 17- Govt. 45- Life 18- India 46- Death

19- Judge 47- Animal
20- Court of justice 48- Vessel

21- Public Servant 49- Year [Month]
22- Moveable party 50- Section

23- Gaining wrongfully 51- Oath
24- Dishonesty 52- good faith
25- Fraudelty 52A- Harbour

25- Fraudelty 52A- Harbo 26- Reason to believe

27- Possession of propery

28- Counterfeit

29- Document

29A- Election record

30- Valuable security

31- Will

32- $A/O \rightarrow Act$ of Ommission

33- A/O



Section 19

- * Judge is a person who is officially designated as a Judge (RJS).

 Such person who is empowered by law in criminal or civil proceedings.
 - (a) Give definite Judgement
 - (b) If not appeal then final judgement
 - (c) If confirmed then definitive
- * It can comprise of one person or body of person [Consumer forum].
- * Kishan Swaroop Vs Bijender Singh Sarpanch is also a Judge.

Section 20

When a Judge who is empowered by law to act judicially.

Is acting judicially

It includes body of person also.

Q. What do you understand by public servant?

Ans. Section. 21:

- (a) XXXXXX
- (b) Commissioned officer of armed forces
- (c) Judge
- (d) Officers of Court i.e. liquidator, receiver, commissioner.
- (e) Juryman assessor, member of Panchayat
- (f) Arbitrator
- (g) Every man can confine the person [Jailor]
- (h) Every person whose duty to prevent offence, protect health Safety
- (i) Such person whose duty is to survey and assess
- (j) Whose duty to collect tax
- (k) Whose duty to conduct election
- (1) Every person who is in survive or pay of govt. and receive fees or commission. Every person who is with legal authority or account to state or central.
- (m) Rajasthan amendment



Any such person included which conduct exam and supervise exam at public body.

- * Explanation. 1: Person can be appointed by Govt. or not.
- * Explanation. 2: W/e any person is on post then he shall be considered as public servant, although legal effect.
- * Explanation. 3: W/e any election although legislature, municipality or any public authority, any manner all are included in election, i.e., the person conducting election is a public servant.
- * M. Karuna Hidhi Vs UOI
 CM is a public servant
- * P.V. Narsimha Rao Vs State PM is a public servant
- * R.S. Nayak Vs A.R. Anatulay MLA is not a public servant, then how CM can be public servant? MLA/MP is responsible for the party whereas CM/PM for the whole nation/Country.
- * Is Judge a public servant?V. Ramaswami Vs StateYes, He is also
- * Asha Parikh Vs State of Bihar
 Are various Organization a public servant?
 For this we have to see the functioning.
 Censor board is a public servant.
- * Ram Avatar Vs State of Bihar Surveyor [Revenue] a public servant
- * Bajranglal Vs State of Punjab Khalasi is a public servant.
- * A.S. Rao Vs CNN Kutty MD Govt. Company is PS
- * State Vs OP Dogra LIC is (Public Servant)
- * Balram Singh Vs State
 Superintendent Engineer, State Electricity board is PS
- * Sushil Modi Vs Mohan Guru Swamy Leader of Opposition of Legislative assembly is not a PS
- * PN Nallammal Vs State
 Speaker of Legislative assembly is a PS



Section. 22: Corporal property of every description except,

- 1. Land
- 2. Things attached to earth
- 3. Things permanently fastened to things attached to earth [door]
 - * Also refer TPA and registration act section. 3 for immovable property.
 - * Corporeal property = such property which has any shape.

Section 23

- 1. Wrongful gain W/e any person in property gains by unlawful means for which he was not legally entitled. E.g. Theft
- 2. Wrongful loss W/e any person for which he is not legally entitled looses it. E.g. Theft
 - * The General rule is that one person obtains gain and another loss.
 - * Is there any offence where there is wrongful loss? Yes in case of mischief [425-440].
- 3. Gaining and losing wrongfully Whoever retains or acquires wrongfully.
 - * Whoever keeps out person wrongfully from property or deprives him from the title.

Section 24

Whoever does act with such intention that there is wrongful gain to one and wrongful loss to another.

Section. 25

- * W/e any person does an act with intention to defraud.
- * Dr. Vimla Vs Delhi Administration Injury is necessary.